Malpractice & Maladministration Policy 🛕 | SCAFETY TRAINING LYD



Version no.	01
Page no.	1 of 7
Effective date	14/09/2022
Updated as per	14/09/2022

Target audience

•	All staff			

Responsible persons

H&S contact	Stephen Carulli		
Standard owner	Stephen Carulli		
Approved by	Executive Management Team	Date	14/09/2022

Related documents

Policies	N/A
Guidance	General Arrangements for Malpractice & Maladministration.
documents	Management System

Group standard compliance

Primary responsibility for adherence to this Group Standard resides with the CEO for Group and Managing Directors for their respective units. Decisions and actions in breach of this policy can only be carried out with prior, written approval from the Executive Management Team (a 'waiver').

Policy update description

Revision	Date	Author	Description
Α	14/09/2022	Stephen Carulli	Initial Issue

	Policy content
1.	1. Purpose
	1.1. We are committed to actively monitoring and preventing the
	occurrence of malpractice and maladministration and has
	processes in place to achieve this. Protecting the integrity and
	validity of all assessments and certificates is a paramount
	concern and we expect the highest professional standards of
	our staff and trainers. If any form of malpractice or



maladministration is identified or suspected by any other party, we encourage this to be reported. In all cases we will take decisive action to prevent, resolve or mitigate any occurrence of malpractice or maladministration.

- 2. | 2. Scope
 - 2.1. This policy covers all companies under the SC Safety Training Ltd and is signed by the CEO.
- 3. Definitions and examples

An act of malpractice/maladministration may be committed by any individual, such as a trainer, centre staff member, candidate or client. Incidents of malpractice are considered to be more serious than acts of maladministration. They may be either deliberate or inadvertent actions. The terms are defined as follows:

Malpractice: Any activity, neglect, default or other practice that compromises, or could compromise the assessment process, the integrity of a regulated qualification or the validity of a result or certificate.

Maladministration: Any activity, neglect, default or other practice that results in the centre or candidate not complying with the specified requirements for delivery of the qualifications and as set out in the relevant legislation.

Examples of malpractice and maladministration that might be carried out by a candidate may include (not exhaustive):

- Obtaining or distributing confidential examination materials without authorisation
- Referring to prohibited items or materials during exam, such as reference books, notes, mobile phones or other electronic devices



- Collusion or copying during an exam or plagiarising work from other sources
- Impersonation undertaking an exam on the behalf of someone else
- Disruptive behaviour or use of offensive language during an exam
- Making fraudulent claims for additional time or assistance for an examination (fraudulent claims for reasonable adjustment or special consideration)
- Failing to follow the examination instructions and/or requirements of the invigilator or trainer.

Examples of malpractice and maladministration that might be carried out by a trainer, invigilator or centre employee may include (not exhaustive):

- Failing to securely store examination materials
- Delivery of shortened courses or poor quality training
- Guiding candidates in their answers to examination questions
- Failing to arrange sufficient resources to administer and invigilate the examination
- Failing to identify, intervene, stop or report any form of malpractice or maladministration
- Failing to observe the correct examination times
- Tampering or making any amendments to candidate answer papers

4 4. Reporting

Malpractice/maladministration may be identified and reported by any individual, such as a candidate, client, trainer or centre employee. All



reports should be made as soon as possible to help prevent or mitigate the consequences of any wrongdoing.

Reports of malpractice/maladministration can be made anonymously, though this may limit the effectiveness of any subsequent investigation. As far as possible reports of malpractice/maladministration will be stored securely and treated confidentially, except where we are obligated to disclose information to the regulator, to the Awarding Organisation or by law.

Reports of malpractice/maladministration to the centre contact may be submitted verbally, in writing or by email. If written/emailed, the report should include:

- The name of the individual making the report and contact details (optional)
- The relevant course details (qualification, training date, training venue)
- The name of the trainer and invigilator
- The name of any candidate/s affected by the malpractice/maladministration
- A detailed description of the malpractice/maladministration
- Any supporting evidence

If any individual wishes to report malpractice/maladministration direct to the Awarding Organisation they can do so as we support an open door policy and the use of Whistleblower to aid the protection and security of all our awards. Whistleblowing is defined as a confidential disclosure relating to malpractice, often against a person's employer, and is considered distinct from complaints, appeals and employment disputes. Disclosures can be made by any person, including trainers, candidates, centre staff and centre clients.

Reports of potential malpractice/maladministration may also be received by centre, direct from the Awarding Organisation. Reports from the Awarding Organisation may include specific requirements along with confirmation of any actions taken. Candidate results and certificates may be withheld, pending the outcome of enquiries. All affected candidates will be notified of the reasons for any delays and



will be given support and guidance on any requirements and potential outcomes.

5 Investigation

Investigation

- 5.1 The centre will take all reasonable steps to fully investigate allegations of malpractice/maladministration, subject to a consideration of any potential conflicts of interest and any requirements made by the Awarding Organisation.
- 5.2 In all cases, the Awarding Organisation will be notified immediately of any allegation of malpractice/maladministration. As a registered training centre, all investigations concerning the centre will be subject to the authority of the Awarding Organisation. If required, the conduct of investigations, either in part or entirely, will be deferred to the Awarding Organisation.
- 5.3 If an investigation is to proceed following a report of malpractice/maladministration, the centre contact will assume overall responsibility and open a case record. A written or emailed response will be provided within 14 days to acknowledge that the report has been received and confirm an investigation has begun.
- 5.4 The centre will conduct a review of the allegations made and set a plan for the investigation. The plan will include the identification of key individuals and the required actions.
- 5.5 Any individual suspected of malpractice/maladministration will be informed in writing or by email of the allegations made against them, along with any evidence that supports the allegation. Individuals suspected of malpractice will be given the opportunity to provide their response or seek advice. They will be informed of the potential consequences of the investigation and that other parties may be notified of the eventual outcome, for example: the regulators, the police and other Awarding Organisations. Individuals suspected of malpractice/maladministration will be informed of their right to appeal.
- 5.6 It may be necessary during an investigation to conduct interviews. Those being interviewed have the right to request the presence of another individual of their choosing and do not



have to answer questions. Where an interviewee is a minor or vulnerable adult, arrangements will be made to have a parent, guardian or carer present, or to have the permission of a parent, guardian or carer, prior to the interview taking place. Interviews with centre staff will be conducted in alignment with disciplinary procedures.

5.7 The centre will aim to conclude its investigation and provide a full response to all relevant parties, with confirmation of any corrective actions taken/planned, within 21 days — or sooner, if required. If a resolution is not possible within this timeframe, an update on the investigation will be provided with an estimated completion date.

6. Appeals

- 6.1 Once a resolution and final malpractice/maladministration report has been produced, the parties affected have the option to appeal any decision made if dissatisfied with the outcome. Appeals must be made within 5 days in writing or by email to the centre providing full details of the concerns and basis for appeal and a reply to email will be sent to confirm this has been received.
- 6.2 The centre will provide a written or emailed response to the appeal within 7 days. If the appeal has not been successful, no further appeals will be considered.
- 6.3 If the appeal has not been satisfactorily addressed, the affected individual/s may refer their appeal to the Awarding Organisation, who will consider if there are grounds to investigate and will respond accordingly.

7 7. Contact

6.4 Appeals and reports of malpractice/maladministration should be made in the first instance to centre as listed below.

Candidates may also report their concerns direct to the trainer. Alternatively, if deemed appropriate or necessary, appeals and reports of malpractice/maladministration can be submitted to:

Name of Centre:	
Address of Centre:	

Malpractice & Maladministration Policy 🛕 SCSAFETY TRAINING LTD



Contre Contact	
Name:	
Contact Telephone	
Contact Email	

Second Contact:

• The Awarding Organisation

Third contact

Regulating Body

Stephen Carull CEO – SC Safety Training Ltd	14/09/2022	
~ ·		